## IN THE CHANCERY COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE FOR THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT NASHVILLE

STATE OF TENNESSEE, Petitioner,

V.

HEILIG-MEYERS FURNITURE COMPANY, Inc., a foreign corporation,

Respondent

PETITION

Paul G. Summers, Attorney General and Reporter for the State of Tennessee, (hereinafter "Attorney General"), files this Petition pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-107 of the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act of 1977 (hereinafter "the Act"), and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

- 1. The Attorney General, acting pursuant to the Act, has investigated certain acts and practices of Heilig-Meyers Furniture Company, Inc. (hereinafter, "Respondent"). Upon completion of such investigation, the Attorney General has determined the conduct of Respondent specifically described in Paragraph 2 of this Petition, constituted an unfair and deceptive act or practice affecting the conduct of trade or commerce in the State of Tennessee in violation of Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-101 *et seq.* ( the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act.) More specifically, Respondent's conduct is violative of Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 47-18-104(a) and (b)(27).
- 2. Based upon the investigation of Respondent, the Attorney General alleges the following:
  - (A) Respondent is in the retail furniture business with its corporate offices being in Richmond, Virginia and retail furniture stores throughout the State of Tennessee.

- (B) During the State's investigation, information was obtained that one of Respondent's employees sent a debt collection letter to a consumer threatening the filing of criminal charges against the consumer if she did not respond to the letter. The employee sent the letter using a false business title and under the false pretenses that the store would file criminal charges.
- (C) Respondent's conduct constitutes unfair and deceptive acts or practices.
- 3. Respondent does not admit to any wrongdoing.
- 4. The Attorney General entered into negotiations with Respondent and the parties have agreed to, and the Division has approved, the attached Assurance of Voluntary Compliance.
- 5. In accordance with the provisions of Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-107(c), the execution, delivery and filing of the Assurance does not constitute an admission of prior violation of the Act.
- 6. The Division, the Attorney General, and the Respondent, the parties who are primarily interested in the matters set forth in Paragraph 2 hereof, have jointly agreed to the Assurance of Voluntary Compliance and join in its filing.

## PREMISES CONSIDERED, Petitioner prays

- 1. That this Petition be filed without cost bond pursuant to the provisions of Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 20-13-101 and 47-18-116.
- 2. That the Assurance of Voluntary Compliance be approved and filed in accordance with the provisions of the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act.